UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,976	08/22/2005	Roger Lee-Smith	920602-98736	7985
	7590 05/02/200 HORNBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2786		LU, JIPING		
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent-ch@btlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/526,976	LEE-SMITH, ROGER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jiping Lu	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and accomposite and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression and the correct and the corr	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/526,976 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meeks et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,137,604) in view of Meeks et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,263,268).

Meeks et al. ('604) shows a vacuum drying method and apparatus comprising a drying chamber 114, control means 160 for controlling the pressure within the chamber, a pump 140 adapted to remove air gas and vapour form the chamber, a vent valve 144 which when open admits air to the chamber, a pressure control valve 126 which when open admits air directly to the pump inlet, and a pressure transducer means 146 adapted to monitor the chamber pressure and to provide a signal to the control means 160 which are arranged same as claimed. However, Meeks et al. ('604) do not show a non-return valve between the chamber and the pump inlet. The control means 160 controls the chamber pressure by opening and closing of the valves 144, 126 based on chamber pressure sensed by the pressure sensor 146. Meeks et al. ('268) teach a vacuum drying apparatus comprising a non-return valve 30 between the drying chamber 12 and the pump 16 to open and close according the absolute pressure differential between the respective inlet and outlet ends thereof. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vacuum drying

Application/Control Number: 10/526,976

Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

apparatus of Meeks et al. ('604) to include a non-return valve between the drying chamber and the pump as taught by Meeks et al. ('268) in order to control the pump operation based on the pressure differential and therefore prolong pump service life. As for the limitations, "The control means is adapted to closewhile the chamber pressure remains substantially constant" in claim 4, last seven lines, they are viewed as functional or intended use limitations. As MPEP 2114 states, "[a] claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim". In this case, the limitations above do not add any structural limitations to the claim and the vacuum drying apparatus of Meeks et al. ('604) as modified by Meeks et al. ('268) discloses all the structural limitations. Additionally while not disclosed, the control means 160 of Meeks et al. ('604) is capable of being used for performing above claimed functions. With regard to the claimed type of the pressure transducer means in claims 6-7 and the non-return valve in claim 8, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to design the vacuum drying apparatus of Meek et al. with any desired type of pressure transducer means and non-return valve in order to obtain a predictable and optimum result since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed pressure transducer means and flap valve solves any stated problem in a new or unexpected way or is for any particular purpose which is unobvious to one of ordinary skill in the art and it appears that the claimed feature does not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art since, the vacuum drying apparatus and method of Meek et al. will perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with the vacuum drying apparatus having any kind of the pressure transducer means and non-return valve. It is also well known in the art to use flap valve

Art Unit: 3749

as non-return valve and to use a pressure sensor which is proportional or given pressure for measuring pressure.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 571 272 4878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, STEVEN B. MCALLISTER can be reached on 571 272-6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jiping Lu/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749